

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,018	03/24/2004	Michael Violette	400.278US01	5683
7590 01/13/2005			EXAMINER	
LEFFERT JAY & POLGLAZE P.A.			DANG, PHUC T	
Attn: Thomas V P. O. Box 5810			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, 1	Minneapolis, MN 55402		2818	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/808,018	VIOLETTE, MICHAEL	ETTE, MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHUC T DANG	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	Narch 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	ince except for formal matters, pro		s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/808,018

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I, Claims 1-19, drawn to a method of forming a portion of a NAND memory array, classified in class 438, subclass 257.
- II. Group II, Claims 20-39, drawn to a NAND memory array, classified in class 257, subclass 390.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions I and II are related as method of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group I invention, since the device of Group II invention could be made by a product different from those of the Group I invention. For example, a step of forming a select line and a drain select line is not required in the product.

However, the issues of method and product claims are divergent.

Furthermore, there may be some overlap in the searches of the two groups, but there is no reason to believe that the searches would be identical. Therefore, based on the additional work involved in searching and examination of the two inventions together, restriction of distinct inventions is clearly proper.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined eventhough the requirement be traverse (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

Phuc T. Dang

PD Sangpluw

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818